

Laporte County 2006 Ratio Study: Corrected
Using Tax Bill Assessed Value

	Improved Residential			Comments & Study Corrections
	Median	COD	PRD	
1 Cass	0.9822	9.8397	1.0045	****All improved residential classes meet state standards****
2 Center	1.0019	10.2385	1.0114	
3 Clinton	0.9848	7.9405	0.9968	
4 Coolspring	1.0264	10.8400	1.0237	
5 Dewey	1.0113	11.6223	1.0059	
6 Galena	1.0126	12.5615	1.0306	
7 Hanna	1.0235	9.7748	1.0149	
8 Hudson	1.0453	13.3625	1.0043	
9 Johnson				
10 Kankakee	1.0173	9.6549	1.0027	
11 Lincoln	0.9717	8.2559	1.0116	
12 Michigan	1.0050	153.4779	2.0688	DLGF "mismatch" of parcel, AV, sale price corrected.
13 New Durham	1.0183	12.6807	1.0180	
14 Noble	1.0080	9.8677	1.0098	
15 Pleasant	1.0018	9.6647	1.0058	
16 Prairie				
17 Scipio	0.9934	6.7709	1.0057	
18 Springfield	1.0061	10.1686	1.0074	
19 Union	1.0627	14.8417	1.0107	
20 Washington	0.9514	7.7004	0.9987	
21 Wills	1.0101	5.3481	1.0138	

	Vacant Residential			Comments & Study Corrections
	Median	COD	PRD	
1 Cass	0.9237	18.2640	1.0303	
2 Center	0.9784	9.7357	1.0132	

3 Clinton	0.9650	16.6800	1.0190	Incorrect billing data used on parcel with 2 separate tax bills corrected
4 Coolspring	0.9933	10.1702	1.0168	
5 Dewey	0.9792	3.5065	0.9961	
6 Galena	0.9868	15.3182	1.0569	Investigating billing & AV differences
7 Hanna	0.9739	15.4216	1.0475	PRD not valid with less than 10 sales; Use combined sales or Spearman Test.
8 Hudson	0.9387	44.1491	1.0437	Investigating absence of 9 sales used in final LaPorte Study.
9 Johnson				
10 Kankakee	1.0318	18.7368	1.0592	Investigating absence of 16 sales used in final LaPorte Study.
11 Lincoln	1.1000	10.0000	1.0267	
12 Michigan	0.9652	13.5918	0.9932	
13 New Durham	0.9052	15.1570	1.0048	
14 Noble	0.9021	16.0637	1.0463	PRD not valid with less than 10 sales; Use combined sales or Spearman Test.
15 Pleasant	1.0090	18.1469	0.9955	
16 Prairie	0.9701	0.1239	1.0000	
17 Scipio	0.9388	19.3205	1.0611	Investigating absence of 29 sales used in final LaPorte Study.
18 Springfield				
19 Union	0.9480	18.1287	0.9805	
20 Washington				
21 Wills	0.9070	12.7980	1.0260	Applied calculated statistics in DLGF worksheet & corrected statistics.

	Improved Commercial			Comments & Study Corrections
	Median	COD	PRD	
1 Cass				
2 Center	1.0210	12.4150	1.0090	Removed 1 invalid sale & corrected statistics
3 Clinton				
4 Coolspring	0.9689	5.9239	1.0093	
5 Dewey				
6 Galena				
7 Hanna				
8 Hudson				
9 Johnson				

10 Kankakee				
11 Lincoln				
12 Michigan	0.9954	13.9836	1.0512	Investigating absence of 6 sales used in final LaPorte Study.
13 New Durham	1.0000	10.6180	0.9870	Applied Combined Twp. results
14 Noble				
15 Pleasant	1.0922	9.4607	1.0109	
16 Prairie				
17 Scipio				
18 Springfield				
19 Union				
20 Washington				
21 Wills				
Combined Twp	1.0000	10.6180	0.9870	Added New Durham & Pleasant for sufficient sample; recalculated statistics

VACANT COMMERCIAL

	MEDIAN	COD	PRD	
Combined Twp	0.9695	33.7436	1.0746	Investigating absence of 6 sales used in final LaPorte Study.

Improved Industrial

	MEDIAN	COD	PRD	
12 Michigan	0.1282	68.1337	2.1642	Josh needs correct formula and recalculate.